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## DATA

Implementing policies face a range of challenges including direct and indirect interventions. These challenges have the potential to reduce the impact of the policies implementations.

## OBJECTIVES

1) To examine the impact of class size effects on student outcomes while controlling for relevant proxies of other policy attributes listed in the MEB.
2) To compare the findings from the proposed method with another standard method.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Woessmann and West (2006) show that using an instrument of variation in the population, class size does not have a significant impact on student achievement in the US; whereas Cho et al. (2012) show that there is a positive and significant impact of smaller class sizes on student achievement in Minnesota by addressing potential bias estimates in the standard model.

## RESULTS

Table 1 Effect of class size on student attainment

| Dependent variable: | OLS |  | 2SLS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Test scores in Science | $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Class size | $3.73^{*}$ | $2.04^{*}$ | 0.61 | 0.47 |
|  | $(0.73)$ | $(0.65)$ | $(0.60)$ | $(0.47)$ |


| First-stage IV estimates (Dependent variable: Class size) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eight-grade size |  | $0.01^{*}$ | $0.01^{*}$ |
|  |  | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| School size (enrolment) |  | $0.00^{*}$ | $0.00^{*}$ |
|  |  | $(0.00)$ | $(0.00)$ |
| Observations | 2815 | 2918 | 2815 |
| P-value of endogeneity test |  |  | 0.00 |
| F-statistics of first-stage |  | $278.26^{*}$ | 0.00 |
| P-value of overidentifying test |  | 0.03 | 0.311 |

Notes: Standard errors in parentheses. * $p<.01$.
Table 2 Effect of class size on student attainment by school location

| Dependent variable: <br> Test scores in Science | Urban |  | Rural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|  | Boys | Girls | Boys | Girls |
| Class size | -4.53* | -1.58 | $2.40{ }^{*}$ | 1.11" |
|  | (1.28) | (1.20) | (0.79) | (0.56) |
| First-stage IV estimates (Dependent variable: Class size) |  |  |  |  |
| Eight-grade size | 0.02 * | $0.02{ }^{\text {* }}$ | $0.03^{*}$ | 0.03 * |
|  | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) |
| Observations | 1114 | 1095 | 1701 | 1823 |
| P-value of endogeneity test | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| F-statistics of first-stage | 150.48* | 152.04* | 338.50* | 595.22* |

[^0]Trends in International Mathematics and Science Study

## METHODS

The two-stage least squares method (2SLS) application can be illustrate as follows:


Post-estimations (Angrist and Pischke, 2009):

Endogeneity test
F-statistics of firststage regression

Test of overidentifying

## DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

* There is some evidence of an endogeneity problem in the OLS estimates.
* The 2SLS method is superior in estimating the education production model of class size effects.
* Class size has a positive effect on students' test scores, it is in line with Bietenbeck (2020); Huang and Zhu (2020) and Hattie's (2005), who suggest that this possibly explained by teacher productivity; peer effects; and school efficiency in handling limited resources.
\& Implementing class size reduction program should be emphasized strategically as reduction class size is not cost-effective initiatives.
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